



MONTHLY REPORT
By
Executive Director Pedro Payne & Staff
April 2006

INTRODUCTION:

The monthly report is a monthly update of the activities of the City of Riverside Community Police Review Commission and gives the staff a chance to pass on information that they feel is important or noteworthy. The information provided in the twelve monthly reports forms the basis for the annual report.

FULL-TIME EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR CPRC:

On April 18, 2006, Pedro R. Payne and the City of Riverside entered into an employment agreement for Dr. Payne to serve as full-time Executive Director for CPRC. Dr. Payne will continue to provide dual administration for both the Human Relations Commission and the CPRC until the City hires a new Executive Director for the Human Relations Commission.

PUBLIC DISCUSSION ON ROLE OF THE CPRC:

On April 26, 2006, the Community Police Review Commission held a public discussion regarding its role and function. The discussion took place during the Commission's regular monthly meeting in the City Council Chambers. With about 20 people in attendance, CPRC staff reviewed the City Charter, governing the powers and duties of the CPRC. Various members of the public opined that they would like to see the Commission take a greater role in extending the powers of the CPRC rather than focus on the limitations imposed by the current City Charter. The Chair of the CPRC promised to take these suggestions under consideration.

OUTREACH:

The Executive Director and various commissioners attended 7 meetings or community events.

Meeting / Event	Activity
New Officer Orientation	Presenter
Leadership Riverside	Presenter
Community Healing Session	Presenter
Safe House	Presenter
Law Enforcement Appreciation Dinner & Awards Ceremony	Attended; 1-on-1
Riverside Downtown Partnership General Meeting	Attended; 1-on-1
Faith Community Church – Hispanic Ministries	Group Discussion; 1-on-1

A number of local organizations and groups have taken advantage of the opportunity to have CPRC commissioners come to their meetings and discuss the Commission and its work. If you would like to have a commissioner speak at one of your meetings, please call the office at (951) 826-5509.

WORKLOAD – April 2006:

Cases Received	Lodged	Filed through CPRC	Filed through RPD
	0	0	3

A complaint is considered **lodged** when a citizen makes a complaint to the CPRC; it is **filed** when the completed complaint form is submitted.

Case Dispositions	Cases Reviewed	Withdrawn*	Administratively Closed**
	10	0	0

***Withdrawn** complaint occurs when a member of the public requests to withdraw their complaint.

****Administrative Closure** occurs when a case is closed for reasons other than being reviewed or being classified as Inquiries.

Allegations	EF	D/H	FA	CC	Disc	IP	PS	CUBO	UD
	0	1	0	1	6	11	1	0	0

EF = Excessive Force; **D/H** = Discrimination/Harassment; **FA** = False Arrest; **CC** = Criminal Conduct;

Disc = Discourtesy; **IP** = Improper Procedure; **PS** = Poor Service; **CUBO** = Conduct Unbecoming an Officer; **UD** = Undetermined

Findings	Unfounded	Exonerated	Not Sustained	Sustained	Inquiry
	5	2	9	3	1

Unfounded - The alleged act did not occur. **Exonerated** - The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper. **Not Sustained** - The investigation produced insufficient information to prove or disprove the allegation. **Sustained** - The Department member committed all or part of the alleged acts of misconduct or poor service. **Inquiry** - a member of the public is merely requesting clarification of a policy or procedure.

Misconduct Noted

During investigations of alleged misconduct, all aspects of an officer's actions are inspected. When a policy violation is discovered beyond that alleged by the complainant, it is classified as "Misconduct Noted" and, by definition, is a "Sustained" finding. Of the cases reviewed this month, 1 allegation of "Misconduct Noted" was discovered.

Cases on Hold

There is currently 1 case on hold in our office. Held cases have either been returned to RPD for further investigation or are being held pending further investigation by the CPRC investigator.

Case Processing Analysis for Cases Reviewed in April 2006

This chart reflects the average number of days the Police Department and CPRC took to process Category 1 and Category 2 cases reviewed in April. These figures do not include cases that were held for further investigation.

	Category 1	Category 2
RPD investigation and administrative processing	230	143
CPRC processing and review	77	99

Category 1 complaints are the most serious allegations such as criminal conduct and use of excessive force.

Category 2 complaints are the less serious allegations such as discourtesy and improper procedure.

Policy Recommendations

There were no policy recommendations made by the Commission in April 2006.